PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference A2004/00080	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/AT2005/000004	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 January 2005 (14.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 January 2004 (23.01.2004)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant GREINER BIO-ONE GMBH		

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinapplicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of inventior	1
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial desplanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	i e
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	rnational application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application
4.	The International Bureau will co not, except where the applicant r date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to desi nakes an express request und	gnated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but er Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
			Date of issuance of this report 14 December 2006 (14.12.2006)
	The International Bures		Authorized officer
	34, chemin des Colo 1211 Geneva 20, Sw		Yolaine Cussac

e-mail: ptll@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	ANS.
То:	PCT PCT
	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) See form PCT/ISA/210
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION
A2004/00080	See paragraph 2 below
International application No. International filing date PCT/AT2005/000004 14.01.2005	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification a B01L3/14, B67B3/20, B65B7/28, B6 Applicant	
GREINER BIO-ONE GMBH	
This opinion contains indications relating to the following item	ns:
Box No. I Basis of the opinion	
Box No. II Priority	
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with re	egard to novelty. inventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with re Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bi.	
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bi. applicability; citations and explanation	s. $I(a)(i)$ with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ons supporting such statement
Box No. VI Certain documents cited	
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international ap	pplication
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internation	onal application
2. FURTHER ACTION	
International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except	made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the pt that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other d the International Bureau under Rule $66.1bis(b)$ that written opinions of 1 .
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a writte written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the programmer.	en opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form riority date, whichever expires later.
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP	Authorized officer
. Tank and haring address of the ISMEF	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

International application No.

PCT/AT2005/000004

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	tional comments:

International application No.
PCT/AT2005/00004

Во	x No. I	V Lack of unity of invention
i.		In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
		paid additional fees
		paid additional fees under protest
		not paid additional fees
2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3.	This	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
		complied with
		not complied with for the following reasons:
		This Authority has determined that this international
		application contains multiple inventions or groups of
		inventions that are not linked to form a single
		general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1), namely:
		I: Claims 1-75, 92-107
		II: Claims 76-91
		The only same or corresponding technical feature
		shared among the above independent claims of the
		application is a receptacle. Therefore, there is no
		unity of invention among the aforesaid groups of
		claims as required by PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2, neither
		in respect of the special technical features nor as
		regards the problems being solved.
4.	Cons	equently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
••		all parts
		the parts relating to claims Nos.
	_	

International application No.
PCT/AT2005/00004

Box	v No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement	:
1.	Statement		
	Novelty	(N) Claims	
	Inventive	e step (IS) Claims Claims	
	Industria	al applicability (IA) Claims Claims	
2.	Citations an	d explanations:	
	1.0	Reference is made to the following documents:	
		D1: US 6 006 930 A (DREYER ET AL) 28 December 1999 (1999-12-28)	
		D2: US 5 967 352 A (REPP ET AL) 19 October 1999 (1999-10-19)	
		D3: US 4 948 001 A (MAGLY ET AL) 14 August 1990 (1990-08-14)	
		D4: US 5 858 141 A (REPP ET AL) 12 January 1999 (1999-01-12)	
		D5: US-B1-6 516 953 (DICESARE PAUL C ET AL) 11 February 2003 (2003-02-11)	
		D6: US-B1-6 406 671 (DICESARE PAUL C ET AL) 18 June 2002 (2002-06-18)	
	2.0	INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1, 19, 40, 76, 95	
	2.1	The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1, 19, 40, 76, 95 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2).	
	2.2	Document D1 discloses (the references between parentheses apply to said document):	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement

2.3 [Claim 19]

A cap (11) for forming a sealing device (1), said cap comprising a cap sheath, two end portions at a distance from each other in the direction of a longitudinal axis and at least one first part of a thread arrangement (13) disposed on an inner surface of the cap sheath, characterised in that a pitch angle of at least one thread of the thread arrangement is selected from a range between a lower limit of 2° and an upper limit of 30° relative to a plane aligned perpendicularly to the longitudinal axis (D1, column 2, lines 40-41: within the range 3° to 4°).

2.4 [Claim. 40]

A receptacle (1 a), said receptacle having two ends at a distance from each other in the direction of a longitudinal axis and said receptacle bounding an inner chamber, wherein at least one of the two ends has an open front side that can be sealed by the openable sealing device (1) and at least a second part of a thread arrangement (3) is disposed on an outer surface of the receptacle, characterised in that a pitch angle of at least one thread of the thread arrangement is selected from a range between a lower limit of 2° and an upper limit of 30° relative to a plane aligned perpendicularly to the longitudinal axis (D1, column 2, lines 40-41: within the range 3° to 4°).

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

2.5 [Claim 95]

A storage device comprising at least one cap (11), a sealing device retained therein ("secure interlock and force fit", column 2 lines 9-11) and a receptacle (1 a), characterised in that the cap is configured according to claim 19 and the receptacle is configured according to claim 40.

2.6 [Claim 1]

A method for the assembly of a cap (11) of a sealing device with an open end of a receptacle (1 a), in which a relative turning or pivoting motion between the cap and the receptacle is carried out about a common longitudinal axis, characterised in that the relative displacement is carried out by exerting a pressure force (F) on at least one of the assembly components (11, 1 a), approximately in the direction of the longitudinal axis.

Note: By turning the cap relative to the receptacle, a pressure force is exerted on the cap in the direction of the longitudinal axis.

2.7 Document D5 discloses (the references between
 parentheses apply to said document):

2.8 [Claim 76]

A receptacle (10), said receptacle having two ends at a distance from each other in the direction of a longitudinal axis and said receptacle bounding an inner chamber, wherein at least one of the two ends has an open front side that can be sealed by

Box No. V

International application No.
PCT/AT2005/00004

the openable sealing device (34), and a separator device (64) can be inserted into its starting position through the open front side into the inner chamber, characterised in that at least one throughflow channel (Fig 8) between a container wall of the receptacle and the insertable separator device is configured in the region of the starting position of the insertable separator device (64).

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-18, 20-39, 41-75, 77, 92-107 Claims 2-18, 20-39, 41-75, 77, 92-107 do not contain any features that, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for novelty or inventive step.